

Assessment of the Rhode Island Summer Flounder and Black Sea Bass Pilot Aggregate Management Programs



June 2022

Julia Livermore



Introduction

For years, discussions on aggregate landings programs have garnered interest from the summer flounder, or fluke (*Paralichthys dentatus*), and black sea bass (*Centropristis striata*) commercial fisheries in Rhode Island. The weekly aggregate landing model has been used for fluke during a winter sub-period (January through April) since the 1990s, scup have been managed using a weekly aggregate limit for many years, and a weekly or bi-weekly aggregate landing approach has been used for bluefish since 2015. The commercial quotas for fluke and black sea bass have traditionally been managed through season-specific quotas, changes in possession limits throughout the year, and in some cases closures during certain days of the week. Both fluke and black sea bass are targeted by a large proportion of the commercial fleet (particularly in summer) due to their high demand and relatively high prices at dealers. As such, the daily possession limit of both species is generally low with state quota allocations also contributing to low limits. Given the variability of fish stocks, low quotas, and subsequently low possession limits, combined with rising fuel prices, vessel maintenance costs, safety at-sea concerns, and global pandemics, fisheries managers are striving to provide more flexible fishing programs to the fishing industry.

It is hypothesized that an aggregate program would allow fishermen more flexibility in fishing practices through the utilization of a weekly possession limit instead of a daily limit. Such a program could potentially decrease costs to the fishermen by decreasing days at sea (reduced fuel and vessel maintenance costs) while also increasing safety as fishermen could pick which days are the best in terms of weather. Aggregate programs could also decrease regulatory discards, and thus, discard mortality in some fisheries, especially at times when possession limits are low by reducing the total number of fishing trips. A reduction in number of fishing trips could mean less time and area for mobile gears to be in contact with the bottom resulting in a potential benefit to the related habitat. Aggregate possession limits could also reduce illegal fishing behavior by increasing flexibility and therefore reducing the incentive to harvest over the daily limit.

However, there have been stated concerns from the commercial industry in RI that aggregate programs may: 1) favor individual businesses depending on how they operate; 2) increase catch rates, which can lead to quicker quota consumption and result in shorter fishing seasons due to early closures; 3) cause an increase in fish landed and variability in timing of landings that will oversaturate the market and drive prices down; and 4) lead to an increase in illegal fishing activity due to the potential difficulties in accountability and enforceability. Ideas on how such an aggregate program would impact the function of these fisheries and what the potential mechanisms should be to manage and enforce the program are largely untested.

At the recommendation of certain commercial fishing industry representatives and to address these concerns, the Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management (RIDEM) Division of Marine Fisheries (DMF) brought forth a proposal for a pilot fluke and black sea bass aggregate program in the fall of 2018 to the Rhode Island Marine Fisheries Council (RIMFC), which was passed and implemented in 2019. The goal of the Pilot Aggregate Program was to collect data for assessing the viability of an aggregate program for fluke and black sea bass from May 1 to December 31, where participants would be held to a weekly aggregate limit (daily possession limit times the number of days open) in lieu of a daily limit. With the support of the 2019 Pilot Aggregate Program fishing participants, the program was extended through the 2021 fishing year in hopes of better understanding interannual variability

associated with the program that is imperative to understand before any form of the program can be formally adopted. Increasing the number of participants using each respective gear type was also essential to capture variability among harvesters.

While this pilot aggregate program was specific to the RI fishing industry, other states could adopt similar flexible management opportunities, depending on RI findings. Understanding how fishing businesses respond to aggregate programs may provide justification for other states or regional fisheries to take aggregate program approaches to management for species with small quotas. Fluke and black sea bass are both highly sought-after species coast-wide, with complicated management structures; pilot aggregate program evaluation may help to improve fishing flexibility, while maintaining healthy fish populations.

Harvest and effort data collection (via dealer reporting and state logbooks or federal vessel trip reports) occurred during the pilot aggregate program and all aggregate participants were also required to install a Vessel Monitoring System (VMS) onboard for real-time vessel location monitoring. However, no data collection on the economic and safety components of the program took place initially, limiting state managers' ability to assess program performance in terms of socioeconomic impact. Collection of these data is necessary to determine whether this pilot aggregate program resulted in improved economic efficiency and safety, as intended. Discerning the human behavioral response in terms of changes to fishing activity and business operations is pivotal to understanding what drives changes in harvest. This information is necessary to make informed recommendations about management options that will achieve desired positive impacts for harvesters, specifically stable and predictable harvest to maximize quota utilization within subperiods.

This report presents results from a mixed-methods (qualitative and quantitative) study aimed at addressing this data gap by offering a strategy to collect business information (fuel, bait, ice, grocery, and labor costs, number of days fished, etc.) and perspectives on the program directly from fishermen participating in the program coupled with analysis of landings data for comparison.

Methods

Interviews

To collect participant business information, semi-structured interviews were conducted with pilot aggregate program participants with funding from a grant through the Atlantic Coastal Cooperative Statistics Program (Award Number: NA21NMF4740471). Prior to contacting potential interviewees, a semi-structured interview instrument was developed and approved by the University of Rhode Island's Institutional Review Board, which reviews all research projects involving human subjects to ensure that subjects are not placed at undue risk and that they are ensured informed consent to their voluntary participation. Interview questions focused on perceptions of impacts (i.e., changes to number of trips targeting fluke or black sea bass or costs associated with fuel and bait, whether the program affected the number of discards), behavioral intentions (i.e., changes to number of days at sea or other business decisions), and attitudes towards the program (e.g., positive or negative, what could be done to improve the program).

Sampling efforts attempted to reach all pilot aggregate program participants. This is an example of purposive sampling, which is a common practice for studying individuals of a particular demographic (Bernard and Ryan 2010). Data collection was focused exclusively on participants of the aggregate

programs to allow for assessment of changes to their businesses since joining the program. For the actual pilot aggregate program, starting in 2019, 12 participants were chosen by lottery to represent multiple gear types within the pilot aggregate programs; three otter trawl fishermen, one lobster pot fisherman, three gillnet fishermen, one rod and reel fisherman, three multi-gear fishermen, and one fish pot fisherman. Three participants per gear type were sought in year one, but limited applications for lobster pot, fish pot, and rod and reel participants were received (one apiece). This pool was expanded in 2020 to an additional 18 participants. Three new participants for each gear type were sought in 2020, but not all types met this goal; participants were selected by lottery when more than three applications were received within a gear type. This pilot aggregate program participant pool represented both state-only and federally permitted vessels. New participants brought the totals by gear type to:

- 6 otter trawl
- 6 gillnet
- 2 lobster pot
- 5 fish pot
- 5 rod and reel
- 6 multi-gear (participants whose fishing history was not comprised of over 80% of a single gear type)

Actively fishing pilot aggregate program participants represented between 2.1 % and 6.5% of fishers harvesting summer flounder, and between 2.3% and 6.4% of all RI fishers landing black sea bass across the three years of the pilot program. All 30 program participants were contacted via email (provided when applying for the pilot aggregate program) on October 20th, 2021 requesting to set up an interview. Four program participants responded via email to set up an interview. Based on gear types of those that responded to the email solicitation, an additional 14 participants were given phone calls between October 27th, 2021 and February 24th, 2022 soliciting for interviews. These 14 individuals were selected to address other gear types that did not have as much interview coverage. At least three participants from each gear grouping needed to be interviewed for that gear type to be discussed in reporting, per data confidentiality requirements (ACCSP Rule of Three). Ultimately, a total of 14 program participants were interviewed, representing 47% of the program, as well as one dealer, for a total of 15 interviews conducted. DMF offered embroidered baseball caps to interviewees as a thank you for their willingness to provide information about their experience in the pilot aggregate program.

While a relatively small sample size, 15 interviews represents an acceptable sample size in qualitative data collection. Further, Crouch and McKenzie (2006) recommend that studies not exceed 20 participants to build and maintain trust with participants and allows for optimal open exchange of information. Guest et al. (2006) suggest that data “saturation” (when additional participants do not provide additional insights) occurs around 12 participants in homogeneous groups. Nevertheless, one goal of sampling in qualitative analysis is to ensure that sampling has included a broad set of interests. Given the use of purposive sampling of pilot aggregate program participants only, it is reasonable to assume that this study reached saturation at 12 or more interviews, as a 40% positive interview response rate should achieve an acceptable sample size to determine overall program efficiency for all gear types combined.

Since the COVID-19 pandemic was still ongoing at the time of interviewing, interviews were done either in-person or over the phone, depending on the participant’s preference. Interviews occurred between

October 25th, 2021 and March 7th, 2022 and ranged from ten minutes to one hour and six minutes (mean \pm SD = 35.13 \pm 16.8). All interviews were recorded and transcribed for reporting accuracy, after confirming that the participant was comfortable with the discussion being recorded and providing either written or verbal consent to the interview.

Data Analysis

Of the fishers interviewed, interviewees represented five different gear types: fish pot (3), rod and reel (4), gillnet (3), otter trawl (3), and use of multi-gear types (1). Based on the Rule of Three, fish pot, rod and reel, gillnet, and otter trawl can be discussed in isolation, while multi-gear cannot. Interviewees had between 12 and 50+ years of work experience in the fishing industry.

Interview recordings were transcribed using Temi transcription services (www.temi.com), and manual correction. Transcriptions were then coded in NVivo software (QSR International 2022) for qualitative analysis. NVivo coding allowed for data to be categorized and synthesized by topic area.

Dealer reports from the Standard Atlantic Fisheries Information System (SAFIS) were acquired, along with state logbooks and vessel trip reports from the Atlantic Coastal Cooperative Statistics Program (ACCSP) Data Warehouse, for all fishing activity resulting in fluke and black sea bass landings between 2014 and 2021. These data were analyzed in R statistical software (R Core Team 2022).

Results

All 15 interviewees expressed positive views of the program. In discussing overall thoughts on the program, the following topics were noted as direct benefits (Figure 1):

- Savings on fuel
- Improved safety
- Opportunity to reduce regulatory discards
- Flexibility to target other species certain days
- Ability to make up lost fishing days
- Better for the environment
- Flexibility to spend more time with family
- Improvements to mental health (reduction in stress)
- Ability to coordinate with dealers on when demand for fish would be highest
- Increased fishing efficiency




Figure 1. Number of interviewees that noted each respective benefit

The only negative attitude expressed about the program was a concern from one individual that the aggregate landings approach could lead to a reduction in availability of fluke by harvesting the quota more quickly.

The following topic areas were asked about directly within the interviews and summaries of interviewee responses are summarized below.

Safety

Most interviewees (13/15) indicated that the program improved safety. Rationales included the ability to pick fishing days based on weather rather than necessity to catch a daily limit (noted by ten individuals), less time on the water overall (fewer days or shorter days), taking time when needed to make vessel repairs correctly due to reduced pressure to catch a daily limit, and a general ability to avoid risks without losing money.

However, the two remaining individuals said the program had no effect on their safety, primarily because they either fish inshore or are already avoiding bad weather days.

Expenses

Five individuals (one third of participants interviewed) described the pilot aggregate program as either a cost saving or profit increasing program. Based on interviewee responses, savings appeared to be driven primarily by a reduction in the number of trips or overall time at sea, resulting in lower fuel expenses.

Trips

Interview Responses

A slight majority of program participants interviewed (8/15) explained that they took fewer trips during their time in the program. Additionally, two others noted that while they still took the same number of trips, they took fewer to specifically target fluke and black sea bass.

Of those that indicated they had taken fewer trips during their time in the pilot aggregate program, six provided detailed explanations of how the reduction occurred. Those descriptions are as follows:

- For sea bass specifically, one person took 50% fewer trips during the program.
- For the sea bass season, one person went from 21 trips prior the program down to 10 trips (52% reduction).
- One person fished 90-100 days per year prior to the program and during the program fished 75 or fewer days a year (17-25% reduction).
- One person fished 5-7 days a week during the fishing season in years prior and then only fished 2 days a week while in the pilot aggregate program (60-71% reduction).
- One person fished all 7 days a week before being in the pilot aggregate program and then reduced to only 1-1.5 days a week during the pilot (79-86% reduction).
- One person took 90-100 (day) trips per year before the pilot and closer to the mid-seventies during the pilot aggregate program (they described a 15-20% reduction overall).

One of these six also noted that their catch of black sea bass increased while in the program, along with a reduction in the number of trips taken, resulting in a 200% increase in profits during the program relative to prior.

Two interviewees said they took the same number of trips, but their days were shorter and they may have set less gear in the water. Another participant explained that they fished the same number of trips, but kept more fish that would have become discards on trips prior to being in the program. One individual noted that they did not think they had reduced their number of trips during the program, but might do so if fish are not around in large numbers, as individual day trips for a 50-pound limit of black sea bass may not be enough to justify a trip. Finally, one participant also noted that to truly reduce the number of trips, there would need to be aggregate landings allowances for more species.

Fisheries Dependent Data Analysis

Analysis of vessel trip reports in conjunction with landings for all aggregate participants suggests there were reductions in the number of trips by fishers participating in the pilot aggregate program across multiple gear types (Figure 2). For fish pot, most captains had fewer trips in 2020 and 2021 than the 2014-2018 median. Most gillnet and rod and reel fishermen had fewer trips than the 2014-2018 median in all three aggregate years (2019, 2020, and 2021). Lobster pot captains overall had fewer trips during the aggregate time period, but had an equal number of captains harvesting above and below the median in 2020. Trawlers had a similar pattern, where most captains had fewer trips than the median in 2019 and 2022, but an equal number of captains harvesting above and below the median in 2020. Most multi-gear captains had fewer trips than the median in 2019 and 2022, but a larger number of captains with more trips than the median in 2020. Overall, there is a reduction in the number of trips during the pilot aggregate program for aggregate captains relative to their 2014-2018 activity.

It is worth noting that 2020 was an anomalous year for all fishing activity due to the COVID-19 pandemic. While overall pounds landed in Rhode Island of black sea bass and summer flounder increased from 2019 to 2020 (39% and 2%, respectively), the value associated with those landings decreased between the two years (12% and 16%, respectively). Therefore, the low price of ex-vessel landings during the pandemic may have affected harvester behavior.




Figure 2. Trips per year for all pilot aggregate program participants by gear type (not just those interviewed). Box plots represent number of annual trips from 2014-2018 and dots represent number of trips during pilot aggregate program participation. Dot color corresponds to pilot aggregate program year, where red is 2019, green is 2020, and yellow is 2021. Figure and analysis conducted by Richard Balouskus, Principal Biologist, RIDEM DMF.

Fuel

A reduction in fuel usage was noted by 13 individuals. For most gear types this resulted from a reduction in the number of trips, but for some gillnetters, their days on the water were shorter because they were able to set fewer nets while still hitting their weekly target catch. One person noted no change in fuel usage, while another was unsure because they targeted other species more as a result of the program, so parsing out fuel usage to target fluke and black sea bass alone was not possible.

Bait

For gear types that use bait (i.e., fish pots and rod and reel), five participants stated that they thought the pilot aggregate program resulted in savings on bait costs. Two others suggested there was no effect on bait expenses, while another two discussed challenges in determining whether changes in bait costs were associated with the pilot aggregate program. Bait prices were noted to be increasing during the program period, and two discussed how they switched from using clam bellies as bait to squid gurry in an effort to save money. However, this had nothing to do with the pilot aggregate program.

Labor

Participants generally thought that labor costs did not change due to the pilot aggregate programs. Only one individual suggested a reduction in labor costs, while seven others stated that they did not observe any changes in paying for crew associated with the program. The majority of interviewees noted that they worked alone or with family members, so there was no change to crew expenses during the program versus prior years.

Wear and Tear

Six interviewees indicated that the program likely resulted in a reduction in wear and tear on either the vessel and/or fishing gear. Of these six, three stated that these reductions were limited in scope and hard to parse out. The other three noted specific situations including replacing gear less frequently because it spent less time in the water, gear not needing to be modified as much to target different species, or a reduction in vessel maintenance time and costs.

An additional two individuals believed that the program had no effect on costs or time associated with vessel or gear maintenance.

Discards

One of the key topic areas discussed by participants related to the program's impact on discarded fish. Of the 15 individuals interviewed, ten (two thirds of those interviewed) stated that they thought the program reduces regulatory discards. Two others suggested that the program may reduce discards, one of which stated that there was no change to their discard numbers, but for other gear types it is likely to reduce them. One additional interviewee stated that they had the same number of dead fish, but got to keep fish that would have been discards previously because they fished the same number of days as before. Only one individual thought that there was no change to discards due to the program.

A key point expressed by multiple individuals was that the program's effect on discards may be different by gear type. For example, it was noted that controlling discards with gillnets can be challenging, but this program does allow for more fish to be kept that traditionally may have been discarded. However, another perspective was that if you hit your target catch more efficiently each week, you may fish less for aggregate species, resulting in fewer discards.

Changes in Catch

Interview Responses

For some gillnetters, the program allowed them to reach their weekly possession limits (equaling more than they would catch fishing on daily limits) because they could catch a large enough amount to make fishing worthwhile.

For fish potters, one noted that their catch of black sea bass increased even while the number of trips decreased because they were able to keep more fish on a single trip.

Two individuals also suggested that catch (and profits) were higher because the pilot aggregate program prevented them from having "lost" fishing days. Being able to land in aggregate allowed them to make up for "lost" days, where historically, if they had not fished, that access to the daily possession limit was eliminated.

Fisheries Dependent Data Analysis

Landings data were analyzed to evaluate the difference in catch of black sea bass and fluke of participants in the pilot aggregate program relative to those harvesting under daily possession limits. The number of aggregate participants landing black sea bass in each year differed (Table 1); not all eligible participants landed black sea bass in 2020 and 2021.

Table 1. Number of aggregate and non-aggregate participants fishing in each of the three program years. The number of total aggregate program participants in 2019 was 12 and was increased to 30 in 2020 and 2021.

Species	Year	Aggregate	Non-Aggregate	% Aggregate
Black Sea Bass	2019	12	515	2.3%
Black Sea Bass	2020	29	452	6.4%
Black Sea Bass	2021	25	448	5.6%
Summer Flounder	2019	10	473	2.1%
Summer Flounder	2020	25	384	6.5%
Summer Flounder	2021	21	404	5.2%

In all three pilot years, aggregate participants landed more pounds of black sea bass each week than non-aggregate harvesters on average (Figure 3). Distributions of average weekly catch differed statistically between the two across the three years (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test p-value < 0.001).

Aggregate participant numbers landing summer flounder also differed each year (Table 1); in all three years, not all eligible participants landed summer flounder. Similar to black sea bass landings, aggregate participants generally landed more pounds weekly of summer flounder than non-aggregate harvesters on average in 2019, 2020, and 2021 (Figure 4). Average weekly catch distributions also differed between aggregate and non-aggregate harvesters (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test p-value < 0.001).




Figure 3. Average weekly pounds of black sea bass landings from 2019-2021 separated by aggregate versus non-aggregate participants. The aggregate landings period was only in effect from May – December each year. Only weeks during the aggregate period are included in this plot. Black sea bass harvest was closed in December of 2019 due to reaching the state’s quota allocation.




Figure 4. Average weekly pounds of summer flounder landings from 2019-2021 separated by aggregate versus non-aggregate participants. The aggregate landings period was only in effect from May – December each year. Only weeks during the aggregate period are included in this plot.

Quota Interactions

Interview Responses

It was unclear through the pilot program whether black sea bass and summer flounder quotas would be substantially affected by an aggregate landings approach. Eight interviewees noted potential program interactions with quota, but responses were primarily describing concerns with exhausting quota if the entire fleet could land in aggregate. However, others argued that since the total weekly possession limit is no higher for aggregate participants, there should be no effect.

Fisheries Dependent Data Analysis

RIDEM DMF staff conducted simulations extrapolating harvest rates of those within the pilot aggregate program to the entire fleet and found that the quota may be exhausted more quickly; results were presented at a public workshop on January 10th, 2022.

Suggested Program Improvements

Interviewees offered a variety of suggestions on how the program could be improved moving forward. Three individuals suggested that other species, or all species, should be allowed to be landed in aggregate; tautog and striped bass were the most frequently suggested additional species.

Two interviewees noted concerns with the vessel monitoring system (VMS) requirements and suggested that the VMS requirement should be eliminated, or communication on why it is necessary be improved. One such comment was about technical challenges with these systems and the other comment was a preference to not have vessel location tracking, unless absolutely necessary for enforcement purposes.

Two interviewees suggested that no changes be made to the program, only that it be extended temporally. One individual suggested opening the program up to all RI commercial harvesters while others explicitly argued against that approach and advised the DMF to only expand the pilot aggregate program to collect more data on catch variability among program participants.

Finally, for those interviewees concerned with aggregate programs causing the quota to be exhausted too quickly, two suggestions were offered: 1) one individual recommended allowing a weekly aggregate limit, but having a daily limit built in, where this daily limit is larger than the non-aggregate daily possession limit, and 2) another individual suggested having the aggregate weekly limit be a function of fewer days than total days open (e.g., 6 days x the daily possession limit instead of 7 days).

Conclusion

Perceptions of Program from Fishing Industry Perspective

Based on the interviews conducted in 2021 and 2022, participant perceptions of the pilot aggregate program were overwhelmingly positive, with some neutral comments (i.e., no changes or improvements relative to past fishing activity), and one negative comment (a concern about potential impacts to the summer flounder quota). All interviewees expressed a desire to stay in the program, depending on its future format, but most noted that they simply wanted to see the program continue in some form. One interviewee suggested that the number of days per week to determine the aggregate limit could be modified if aggregate landings were found to accelerate quota depletion. However, another noted explicitly that if the number of days were reduced, they would leave the program and chose to fish daily possession limits instead to maximize their catch. This tradeoff was ultimately discussed by the RIMFC.

Perceptions of Program from Management Perspective

From the perspective of the DMF, the pilot aggregate program was successful in garnering interest from the fishery to participate and allowing for tracking of landings data for comparison to non-aggregate activity and tracking impacts to the state quota. The pilot also enabled successful integration of VMS tracking and enhanced data collection into a new management program. Based on the interviews, the program was also successful in achieving a variety of program targets: 1) reducing regulatory discards, 2) increasing flexibility for commercial harvesters in terms of how they conduct their fishing activity, which may enable adaptability in light of changing ocean and market conditions, and 3) creating conditions where fishermen may be able to harvest more efficiently and save money or increase profits.

As previously noted, one of the drivers for the fishing industry to recommend an aggregate landings approach for high-value, low possession limit species was to reduce the incentive to harvest over the daily possession limit. The DMF agreed that an aggregate landings approach could potentially reduce perverse incentives created by small daily possession limits and the VMS requirement could further limit illegal activity. Whether this pilot aggregate program succeeded in changing incentives remains to be evaluated directly, but the RIDEM Division of Law Enforcement (DLE) used pilot program participants' VMS to monitor their fishing activity throughout the program. Law enforcement approached the pilot aggregate program with some trepidation due to concerns over a lessened ability to readily identify non-compliance in trip limits and a need to ensure accountability on the part of the fishermen. With the inclusion of a VMS requirement, these concerns for identifying non-compliance were lessened. DLE has recommended that all future aggregate programs make VMS mandatory. The DLE still has concerns with the prolonged administrative procedure to sanction permits for documented violations and recommends that consideration be given to immediate permit sanctions upon documentation of said violation; a similar process is employed in other jurisdictions and future programs could explore the feasibility of additional enforcement measures.

Future Directions

In early 2022, the RIMFC discussed the fate of the pilot aggregate program and evaluated three potential options: 1) eliminate the program, 2) implement the program indefinitely in some capacity, or 3) continue the pilot program with some modifications to test for additional uncertainties. Ultimately, on March 7th, 2022, the RIMFC voted to extend the pilot aggregate program another year (through 2022), and to expand the number of participants to 58, with no restrictions by gear type. They also modified the program to using five days instead of seven to determine the aggregate limit for black sea bass. This will allow for an additional year of data collection to help address questions that remain unanswered. For example, the expanded pilot aggregate program should help to provide additional data on the rate of quota depletion, given the uncertainty around the simulations and the speculative answers from program participants.

However, some questions remain untested. For example, future research should seek to quantify the change in discards associated with an aggregate landings program. This could include fisheries observers onboard commercial vessels to collect information on the number of black sea bass and summer flounder discarded, as well as information on size, sex, and maturity of discarded fish.

Further, analysis on the variability in catch between aggregate and non-aggregate participants across program years is necessary to better understand potential drivers. This should include incorporation of year class effects for both black sea bass and fluke to determine whether differences may be attributed

to the program or external influences. Additional modeling incorporating market factors (e.g., COVID-19) should also be conducted, as well as more detailed characterization of program participants versus the larger fishing fleet targeting fluke and black sea bass. Questions also remain on how representative the pilot aggregate program participants are of the Rhode Island fluke and black sea bass fisheries.

DMF staff intend to conduct more detailed data analyses on these topics following an additional year of data collection to include the 2022 fishing year with more aggregate participants. These results will be compiled into a manuscript for publication upon completion.

References

- Bernard, R., and G. Ryan. 2010. *Analyzing qualitative data: systematic approaches*. SAGE Publications: Thousand Oaks, California.
- Crouch, M., & McKenzie, H. (2006). The logic of small samples in interview-based qualitative research. *Social Science Information*, 45(4), 18. doi: 10.1177/0539018406069584
- Guest, G., Bunce, A., & Johnson, L. (2006). How many interviews are enough? An experiment with data saturation and variability. *Field Methods*, 18(1), 24. doi: 10.1177/1525822X05279903
- R Core Team (2022). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <https://www.R-project.org/>.