



HIGHLIGHTS

- + 9.4 million visitors
- + \$311.9 million economic impact
- + 3,709 jobs
- + \$38.8 million state/local revenue



Visitors to Rhode Island State Parks, including beaches, bikeways, campgrounds and park facilities, made substantial contributions to the state economy in 2016.

They spent \$315.8 million (+/-\$34.3 million) to generate economic output of \$311.9 million and 3,709 jobs. They generated an estimated \$38.8 million of revenues to state/local governments, including \$4.4 million of bridge tolls and \$6.0 million in park fees (including campsite fees, beach parking, and golf course fees).

Visitors spent the most money at restaurants and bars (\$89.1 million) and gas stations (\$75.9 million). The next most impacted business types were hotels and motels (\$49.5 million) and grocery stores (\$46.7 million).



Fort Adams State Park had the largest economic impact of all the sites in our study. Fort Adams' 1.3 million visitors generated an estimated \$63.9 million of economic output in Rhode Island, alongside 759 jobs. Fort Adams had the second highest visitor total in the state, behind Goddard Park, and had the highest out-of-state visitor total, with 774,212.

BACKGROUND


The last decade has seen a surge of interest in Rhode Island's natural resource-based economy. Recent research has focused on the economic impact of businesses in the farm and garden sectors (cf. Sproul, 2015), but there has been little progress in evaluating the impacts from outdoor recreation on the Rhode Island economy. Prior work has focused on only one or a few facilities (Leonard et al., 2014; Salve Regina, 2016), or use only national-level survey data (OIA, 2012; NRPA, 2015). We aim to fill this knowledge gap by applying the latest methods (Crompton et al., 2015) to estimate the economic impact from visitors to the Rhode Island State Parks system.

In this study, we estimate economic impacts across 22 facilities managed by the Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management (DEM): seven beaches, two bikeways, four campgrounds and nine parks. We surveyed 1,499 people across eleven facilities and online in the summer of 2016. To supplement our survey data, DEM provided data on visitor counts, visitor origins (in-state vs. out-of-state) and total park fees collected.



We combined all of the data into statistical estimates of spending per visit. Costs incurred by out-of-state visitors in the process of getting to Rhode Island were excluded, as were all expenditures by local visitors. Visitors were asked to rate the park's importance (on a 10-point scale) as the primary purpose of their trip, and their spending was adjusted accordingly (Jeong and Crompton, 2014).

Details of our data collection, data cleaning and statistical analysis can be found in the Technical Appendix to this report. Economic impact estimates were generated using the IMPLAN input-output modeling software. All economic impact estimates are reported with conservative "output multipliers" following Crompton et al. (2015).




RESULTS

The sites in our study had **9.4 million visitors** and \$315.8 million of spending attributed to 6.5 million non-local visitors. They produced 3,709 jobs and economic output of \$311.9 million.

Spending and	d Visitors by C	ategory	
Category	Spending	Visitors	
Beaches	\$115,016,556	1,171,817	
Bikeways	\$19,697,336	1,387,609	
Campgrounds	\$6,142,599	77,085	
Parks	\$174,898,900	6,796,764	
Total	\$315,755,391	9,433,275	
	The state of the s		
- An	1000	The state of the s	
THE RESERVE			
	STATE OF STA		

Total output includes direct spending by park visitors at local businesses, indirect purchasing by those businesses, and induced spending of new labor income (\$125.3 million) by workers and business proprietors. The contribution to Gross State Product was \$192.3 million.

Payments to state and local government entities, in the form of park fees and bridge tolls, are excluded from the input-output model as a measurement of economic activity. In the table, bridge tolls are estimated from survey data and park fees are official totals. State and local taxes are estimated in IMPLAN.



Of the \$315.8 million spent by park visitors, \$305.4 million was spent at local businesses, while \$10.4 million was spent on park fees and bridge tolls.

Restaurants and bars, and gas stations, were the two business sectors that benefited most heavily from spending by visitors to Rhode Island State Parks. Over 50% of visitor spending is attributed to these two categories. The next largest sectors were lodging and grocery, combining for over 30% of the total.

	Spending by Sector			
	Sector	Spending	Share	
	Restaurants and Bars	\$89,069,679	29.2%	
	Gas Stations	\$75,859,580	24.8%	
	Hotels and Motels	\$49,488,826	16.2%	
	Grocery Stores	\$46,704,630	15.3%	
	Shopping and Souvenirs	\$25,648,897	8.4%	
	Auto Rental	\$11,347,444	3.7%	
	Recreational Equipment	\$5,699,382	1.9%	
N. New	Auto Repair/Maintenance	\$1,551,979	0.5%	
	Total	\$305,370,417	100.0%	



-State isitors

Category	In-State	Out-of-State	Out-of V
Beaches	\$40.01	\$199.22	

\$9.73 \$60.85 Bikeways 17.4% Parks \$11.66 \$72.82 32.4% \$95.16 32.3%

Campgrounds and beaches attract the largest percentage of visitors from outof-state. Out-of-state visitors spend more per visit than in-state visitors, primarily on meals and lodging. Out-of-state visitors also spend the most at beaches, on average, while in-state visitors spend the most while camping.

BEACHES

Misquamicut State Beach in Westerly had the largest impact with 343,318 visitors spending \$44.1 million, and the most out-of-state visitors (76.8%). Salty Brine State Beach and Roger W. Wheeler State Beach had the least outof-state visitors, with 24.1% and 26.5% respectively.

Spending and Visi	tors by Site		
Site	Spending	Visitors	
East Beach	\$6,163,189	52,666	
East Matunuck	\$13,498,205	178,179	
Misquamicut	\$44,067,053	343,318	
Roger Wheeler	\$18,688,431	244,918	
Salty Brine	\$3,612,335	52,851	
Scarborough North	\$19,343,843	192,477	4.2 1.6
Scarborough South	\$9,643,499	107,408	
Total	\$115,016,556	1,171,817	The state of
	al 19		
	- Land	H	
		The same of the sa	L
n			

Scarborough Beach is Rhode Island's most popular and well-known beach. Located in Narragansett, it is a 26-acre facility with 2,325 feet of beach frontage. 299,885 beach-goers visited Scarborough North and South in 2016, spending a combined \$29.0 million.

BIKEWAYS

Rhode Island's system of bike paths includes over 60 miles of off-road cycling spanning all five counties in the state. Bikeways contributed almost \$20 million in spending despite the lowest spending per visitor and lowest percentage of out-of-state visitors (17.4%) among the categories.

Spending and Visitors by Site Site **Spending Visitors** East Bay Bike Path \$12,416,150 836,699 \$19,697,336 1,387,609 **Total**

The true economic impact of Rhode Island bikeways is substantially larger, due to the omission of over 600,000 visitors from four bike paths not included in this study: Quonset (99, 182), South County (197, 641), 10 Mile (122,247) and Washington Secondary (201,675). Leonard et al. (2014) estimate that the four omitted bike paths generate annual spending of \$7.6 million.

CAMPGROUNDS

Burlingame State Park and Campground is the largest camping facility in the state with 3,100 acres located in Charlestown. The campground attracts over 45,000 visitors per year, with more than 70% coming from outside Rhode Island. The facility also includes Burlingame Picnic Area, which we treat separately in the Parks section of this report.

Spending and	l Visitors	by Site		
Site	1 - M	Spending	Visitors	
Burlingame Camp	ground	\$3,763,574	45,869	-
Charlestown Brea	achway	\$470,306	6,701	
Fishermen's Men	norial	\$1,543,032	18,909	100
George Washingt	on	\$365,687	5,606	
Total		\$6,142,599	77,085	

Despite low numbers of total visitors relative to the other park categories, Rhode Island State Campgrounds generate over \$6.1 million in spending because they attract mostly high-spending, out-of-state visitors (65.0%).

PARKS

Fort Adams State Park generates the most spending of any site in the Rhode Island State Parks system, with \$63.5 million. Among parks, it attracts the most out-of-state visitors (59.5%). Fort Adams is best known for the historic fort, outdoor events and music festivals, and sailing.



Lincoln Woods was Rhode Island's first state park, founded in 1909. It attracts the third-most annual visitors behind Goddard Park and Fort Adams. There is substantial variation in the appeal of the Rhode Island State Parks to different types of visitors, with Goddard Park, Lincoln Woods and Pulaski Park attracting fewer than 20% out-of-state visitors, while Colt State Park attracts over 40% out-of-state and Fort Adams attracts almost 60% out-of-state visitors.

Rhode Island parks also offer a diverse array of amenities from equestrian facilities, swimming, and golf, to outdoor events, hiking and biking trails, picnic areas and sweeping views. Beavertail is unique in offering both a children's aquarium and a lighthouse museum.

More than half of the estimated visitor spending and over two thirds of the visitors in our study are attributed to the parks facilities within the Rhode Island State Parks system. Still, the true figures are likely even larger, as six facilities are not included in our study: Haines Memorial, Rocky Point, Snake Den State Park, the WWII Veteran's Memorial, Arcadia Management Area and the Chafee Nature Preserve.



WORKS CITED

Crompton, John L., Ji Youn Jeong and Rebekka M. Dudensing. 2015. "Sources of Variation in Economic Impact Multipliers." *Journal of Travel Research*, Vol. 55, No. 8: pp. 1-14.

Jeong, Ji Youn, and John L. Crompton. 2014. "The Economic Contributions of Texas State Parks." Department of Recreation, Park and Tourism Sciences, Texas A&M University.

Leonard, Hillary, Miri Chung and Jim Blair. 2014. "The Economic and Social Role of Bike Paths in Rhode Island." College of Business Administration, University of Rhode Island. Prepared for the University of Rhode Island Transportation Center.

Outdoor Industry Association (OIA). 2012. "The Outdoor Recreation Economy 2012: Rhode Island." outdoorindustry.org/recreationeconomy

National Recreation and Park Association (NRPA). 2015. "The Economic Impact of Local Parks." An NRPA Research Report. http://www.nrpa.org/publications-research/research-papers/the-economic-impact-of-local-parks/

Salve Regina University. Spring 2016. "The Cliff Walk Impact Study." ECN 307: Introduction to Econometrics Class Project, supervised by Dr. Samuel Sacco. Prepared for the Newport Cliff Walk Commission.

Sproul, Thomas W. 2015. "The Economic Impact Study of Rhode Island Plant-Based Industry and Agriculture: An Update to the 2012 Study." Department of Environmental & Natural Resource Economics, University of Rhode Island.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was produced by:

Dr. Thomas Sproul, Assistant Professor Department of Environmental & Natural Resource Economics College of the Environment & Life Sciences University of Rhode Island

Exceptional research assistance was provided by Dalton Kell, Clayton Michaud, Max Bucher, Ethan Kulman, Kayla Nitzberg and Natasha Meehan.

We are grateful especially to Terri Bisson, and to the entire team at the Bureau of Natural Resources and the Division of Parks and Recreation at the Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management.

This study was made possible by a generous gift to the "Economic and Policy Analysis for Rhode Island" fund at the University of Rhode Island Foundation.



