Options for Mapping Rhode Island's Wetlands

Recommendations Based on User Needs and Technical, Logistical, and Fiscal Considerations

Results of the Survey of Rhode Island Map Users

Appendix B of Final Research Report

by

Nicholas A. Miller, Research Associate Francis C. Golet, Professor Peter V. August, Professor Department of Natural Resources Science University of Rhode Island, Kingston

March 2001









1. Do you use wetland maps or data sources in your work?

2. How often do you use wetland maps or data sources?



Frequency of use by map or data source

2. (Continued.)



Frequency of use by map user group

3. If you are a field worker, based on your experience, how accurate have existing wetland maps and data sources been, relative to field conditions?



Perceived accuracy of existing

4. If you use aerial photography for wetlands work:

a. How do you access the photos?



b. How important is it to your work that access to State-owned aerial photos be improved?



c. To satisfy your needs, what is the *minimum* frequency at which statewide aerial photos should be taken?

Frequency at which statewide aerial



5. Please indicate the *primary* wetland-related activity(ies) that you perform—and the map or data sources that you *routinely* utilize for those activities—by checking the appropriate box(es).



Maps & data sources used for primary wetland-related activities

35

6. Please indicate the *specific* activity(ies) for which you use wetland maps and data sources by placing a check mark in the appropriate box(es).



Naps and data sources used for specific wetland-related activities

7. Do existing wetland maps and data sources meet your needs?



8. Do you have access to the Internet?



9. Do you have access to ArcView or other GIS software?



10. How often do the RIGIS wetland map data meet your needs—in terms of *scale* (i.e., in terms of the minimum size of mapped areas)?



Frequency at which RIGIS wetland map scale is adequate

11. How often do the RIGIS wetland map data meet your needs—in terms of *wetland classification accuracy*?



Frequency at which RIGIS wetland map classification accuracy is adequate

12. How often do the RIGIS wetland map data meet your needs—in terms of *positional accuracy* (i.e., map location vs. actual location of wetland on the ground)?



13. How often do the RIGIS wetland map data meet your needs—in terms of *the age of the data*?



Frequency at which the age of RIGIS wetland map data is adequate

14. Which of the following wetland map scales would suffice for your needs?



Smallest scale that would satisfy map users' needs

Scale that map users would prefer



15. How important is the correct classification of wetland types (e.g., forested swamp, shrub swamp, bog, marsh, pond) to your work?



Importance of classification accuracy to map users' work

16. What level of positional accuracy do you need?



Level of positional accuracy needed by map users

17. In what format(s) would you prefer improved wetland maps and data?



Preferred formats of wetland maps

18. Should the improvement of wetland map data be a priority for the State?



19. To what degree would improvements in Rhode Island's current wetland maps (in terms of scale, classification, positional accuracy, and age of data) help you in your work?



Degree to which improvements in wetland maps would help map users in their work

20. How often would you use wetland maps that have been improved to meet your needs?



Frequency at which map users would use improved wetland maps

21. For what purpose(s) would you *like* to use wetland map data that you cannot at present due to various shortcomings?

			Ň	o. of resp	Wetland map use*				
				Map user g					
Overall	Town1	Town2	S&F_Plan	S&F_Reg	Consultant	NGO	Academic	Assessor	
14	2	6	1	4		1			Review of development plans and permit applications
13	4	2		6	1				Precisely determining wetland edge/boundary
11	2				9				Development planning/Feasibility studies/Applying for permits
9	2	4			1		1	1	Viewing wetland boundaries in relation to parcel boundaries
7		1	1	2	3				Determining wetland type
6		1		4	1				Determining wetland size
5		1		1	3				Determining wetland presence
5			3	2					Wetland restoration planning
5		1	1	1	1		1		Preliminary development planning
4			1		1	1	1		Regional and watershed assessments and analyses
3				2	1				Cumulative wetland impact analyses
2				1	1				Wetland functional assessments
2				1	1				Researching review history
2						1	1		Identifying very small wetland units/Fine resolution
2								2	Determining highest & best use for valuation purposes

*Many individual responses were received for this question; only those that were stated by at least two respondents are provided here.

22. Please provide additional information or comments to help us assess the utility of existing wetland map data and to determine how those data could be improved to meet your needs.

			No. of	response	S		Comment*	
			Мар и	iser group				
Overall	Town1	Town2	S&F_Plan	S&F_Reg	Consultant	NGO	Academic	
16	3	3	3	3	1	2	1	Wetland maps should have better positional accuracy
10				1	8		1	Wetland maps cannot replace field investigations
5		1	2			1	1	Wetland maps should be updated more frequently
3				1	2			Wetland maps should only be used for broad, general purposes
3	1				2			Wetland maps should be larger-scale
3				1	1	1		We need maps that don't require GIS software
2				2				It is better to use aerial photography than maps
2				1	1			Site-specific wetland info should be obtained from field visits
2			1		1			The RI Soil Survey is more accurate than wetland maps
2					2			More accurate wetland maps would facilitate better planning
2					2			High quality digital orthophotos should be taken at frequent intervals
2			1		1			RIGIS wetland maps and the RISS should be re-registered to an orthophoto base
2					2			Maps should be ground-truthed
2				1	1			Current wetland maps are often misused

*Many individual responses were received for this question; only those that were stated by at least two respondents are provided here.